



ETHICAL LEADERSHIP IN CRISIS: ASSESSING THE CONTEMPORARY RELEVANCE OF GANDHIAN POLITICAL ETHICS

Dr. Minbi Kaye

Assistant Professor

Department of Political Science

Binni Yanga Government Women's College, Poma, Itanagar, India

Abstract

The rise of political corruption, populism, and declining public trust in democratic institutions has renewed scholarly interest in ethical governance. While Mahatma Gandhi's political philosophy is widely studied in the context of India's liberation movement, its relevance as a framework for contemporary ethical leadership remains under-researched. This study critically assesses Gandhi's model of political leadership. Anchored in the principles of *Satya* (truth), *Ahimsa* (non-violence), *Swaraj* (self-governance), *Sarvodaya* (welfare of all), and Trusteeship, the paper utilizes a qualitative design, analyzing Gandhi's major writings alongside contemporary governance literature. The findings demonstrate that Gandhi's leadership model—defined by moral courage, integrity, accountability, and selfless service—offers a vital alternative to modern power-centric, demagogic politics. Despite implementation challenges in today's media-driven political landscape, these core ethics remain essential for tackling systemic misgovernance, ensuring public accountability, and rebuilding citizen trust in democratic institutions.

Keywords: Ethical Leadership; Gandhian Philosophy; Democratic Governance; Public Accountability; Political Corruption.

1. Introduction

Political leadership today is often criticized for corruption, polarization, populism, misinformation, and declining public trust. Mahatma Gandhi's vision of leadership was deeply rooted in truth, non-violence, self-discipline, accountability, and service to society. This paper critically evaluates whether Gandhian ethical leadership can serve as a robust normative framework to address contemporary systemic governance crises. To investigate this inquiry, the study is structured around the following specific research objectives and questions.

Research Objectives

1. To understand Mahatma Gandhi's concept of ethical leadership.
2. To examine the major characteristics of contemporary political leadership.
3. To contrast Gandhian leadership with contemporary political leadership.
4. To study the relevance of Gandhian ethics in strengthening democratic governance.

Research Questions

1. What are the significant elements of Gandhi's concept of ethical leadership?
2. How does Gandhian leadership differ from modern political leadership?
3. Is Gandhian ethical leadership relevant today?
4. What lessons can contemporary leaders learn from Gandhi?



Cover Page



Central Argument

This study argues that integrating Gandhian moral ethics into contemporary governance structures provides a viable mechanism to mitigate democratic deficits, enhance institutional accountability, and restore declining public trust.

2. Literature Review

Generally, ethical leadership is understood as a leadership paradigm guided by core moral values such as integrity, accountability, honesty, fairness, and service to society (Brown & Treviño 2006). Within political theory, ethical conduct is regarded as an essential criterion of leadership for promoting public confidence, sustaining democratic legitimacy, and ensuring good governance.

Various scholars have studied Gandhi's political philosophy from distinct analytical perspectives, including non-violence, civil disobedience, conflict resolution, and social justice. (Dalton 1993) emphasized Gandhi's strict adherence to moral politics and non-violent social transformation, while (Parel 1997) highlighted the continuing relevance of *Hind Swaraj* for understanding ethical governance and political responsibility. (Parekh 1997) asserted that Gandhi's political philosophy profoundly challenges the traditional, power-centric notion of politics by placing ethics at the center of public life. Similarly, (Iyer 1973) conceptualizes Gandhi's leadership as a sophisticated synthesis of moral conviction, personal discipline, and strategic political action.

The prevailing literature on ethical leadership provides useful theoretical baselines for decoding Gandhi's philosophy. (Greenleaf's 1977) Servant Leadership theory gives prominence to serving others before exercising authority. This servant-leader paradigm directly converges with Gandhi's philosophy of selfless public service (*Seva*). Similarly, (Brown and Treviño 2006) envision ethical leadership as the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct that influences followers through proactive communication, fairness, and principled decision-making. Together, these theoretical frameworks illustrate and emphasize the argument that leadership effectiveness is intrinsically linked to the moral behaviour of those in authority, which ultimately drives public accountability.

Regardless of these foundational works on Gandhian philosophy and the expanding literature on ethical leadership, comparatively few studies have methodologically and systematically examined Gandhi's concept of ethical leadership in direct relation to the structural crises plaguing modern democratic politics. Existing literature frequently confines Gandhi's contributions to India's independence movement or abstract ideas of non-violence. Meanwhile, less attention has been dedicated to evaluating the empirical utility of his ethical principles in mitigating contemporary challenges like political corruption, populism, polarization, misinformation, and declining public trust. This article bridges this gap by critically analyzing the structural framework of Gandhi's ethical leadership and evaluating its systemic relevance to contemporary political leadership and democratic governance.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, descriptive, and analytical research design to evaluate the Gandhian concept of ethical leadership and its normative relevance to modern political systems. The study relies primarily on a qualitative analysis of primary textual sources, including Gandhi's foundational works such as *The Story of My Experiments with Truth*, *Hind Swaraj*, *Young India*, and *Harijan*. To contextualize these texts within contemporary democratic challenges, these primary sources are cross-referenced with secondary academic literature, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and institutional reports on ethical leadership and democratic governance.

Data are evaluated using thematic content analysis, focusing strictly on Gandhi's foundational principles: truth (*Satya*), non-violence (*Ahimsa*), self-governance (*Swaraj*), universal welfare (*Sarvodaya*), integrity, accountability, and public service. These themes are critically juxtaposed against the structural realities of contemporary political leadership to assess the ongoing relevance of Gandhian ethics. Consequently, to address modern governance crises, this article synthesizes



Cover Page



Gandhian political philosophy with modern ethical leadership and servant leadership theories to construct its conceptual framework.

4. Gandhi's Concept of Ethical Leadership

Mahatma Gandhi conceptualized leadership as a profound moral responsibility rather than an instrument for acquiring or consolidating political power. For Gandhi, both the normative legitimacy and the systemic legality of political leadership depended entirely on the ethical character of the leader. A leader's capacity to serve society hinges on core virtues of integrity, humility, self-discipline, and honesty (Gandhi, compiled 2009; Iyer, 1973). Gandhian philosophy fundamentally rests upon the pillars of *Satya*, *Ahimsa*, *Swaraj*, *Sarvodaya*, and Trusteeship, which collectively constitute the bedrock of his leadership paradigm (Parel, 1997).

Truth (*Satya*) serves as the primary foundational pillar of Gandhian philosophy. Gandhi asserted that political leaders must maintain absolute transparency and moral consistency across both their public operations and private lives (Gandhi, 2009). Correspondingly, his conceptualization of *Ahimsa* transcended the mere absence of physical violence, expanding to encompass proactive compassion, mutual respect, tolerance, and institutionalized dialogue to resolve deep-seated political conflicts. He argued that to achieve sustainable, long-term political evolution, leaders must appeal to the collective moral conscience of the citizenry rather than relying on structural coercion (Gandhi, 1993).

Furthermore, Gandhi interpreted *Swaraj* not merely as structural independence from colonial rule, but as a continuous practice of individual self-control, ethical discipline, and responsible citizenship (Parel, 1997). He posited that leaders must achieve internal self-governance before attempting to govern others. Similarly, *Sarvodaya* demands inclusive development and the holistic welfare of every individual, prioritizing the upliftment of the most vulnerable and marginalized socio-economic strata. Complementing this is Gandhi's concept of Trusteeship, which dictates that individuals exercising economic or political power must not view themselves as absolute owners of those privileges. Instead, they must act as societal trustees, deploying their authority and resources to maximize public welfare rather than personal or factional gain (Iyer, 1973).

Synthetically, these principles redefine leadership as a pure act of service, operationalized through uncompromising integrity, institutional accountability, and moral courage. The Gandhian model of ethical leadership directly subverts traditional realpolitik notions of statecraft centred on unmitigated authority, offering instead a framework anchored in normatively appropriate behaviour and social justice (Parekh, 2001).

5. Comparative Analysis: Gandhian Ethical Leadership and Modern Political Leadership

Modern political leadership operating within democratic environments is heavily conditioned by hyper-competitive electoral dynamics, mass media personalization, instantaneous digital communication, and globalization. Within this framework, democratic institutions are continually expanded by mass political participation, yet contemporary politics remains increasingly vulnerable to populist manipulation. Concurrently, modern electoral politics is driven by politics and misinformation in the pursuit of success. Such systemic pathologies have severely weakened public trust and triggered widespread concerns regarding declining standards in contemporary governance (Northouse 2022).

Fundamentally, the Gandhian concept of leadership diverges sharply from prevailing contemporary political practices. Gandhi's paradigm prioritizes absolute truth, moral integrity, and selfless public service. Conversely, contemporary political leadership primarily emphasizes strategic political calculation, electoral victory, and the consolidation of centralized power. While Gandhi advocated that political authority is a sacred form of public trust, contemporary realpolitik treats authority as a transactional mechanism for acquiring political influence and maintaining executive office (Parekh 1989).

Moreover, Gandhi deeply believed in structural transparency, institutional accountability, and personal simplicity, encouraging leaders to remain organically connected to the masses. On the other hand, contemporary political elites rely



Cover Page



heavily on extensive media management, image curation, and strategic communication to manufacture public perception. Within this modern democratic landscape, the proliferation of excessive political polarization and digital misinformation systematically erodes ethical governance frameworks and diminishes public trust (Brown & Treviño 2006).

However, certain facets of contemporary democratic aspirations continue to reflect Gandhian ideals. There is an increasing global demand for institutional transparency, robust anti-corruption measures, and participatory citizenship demanding ethical governance and social justice. This demand strongly echoes Gandhi's vision of politics as a deeply moral enterprise. Furthermore, his emphasis on institutionalizing peaceful conflict resolution through deliberative dialogue and social inclusiveness remains critically relevant for societies experiencing deep-seated ethno-political and social divisions.

6. Challenges in Applying Gandhian Ethical Leadership

In contemporary politics, despite its profound normative relevance, the empirical application of Gandhi's ethical leadership faces severe structural challenges. Frequently, hyper-competitive electoral dynamics incentivize short-term political expedience at the direct expense of long-term ethical commitments. Operating within a highly volatile political environment, modern leaders must continuously navigate the competing influences of coalition dynamics, corporate media, financial constraints, and shifting populist expectations, which heavily compromise autonomous ethical decision-making.

Today, the dual processes of globalization and digital media transformation have fundamentally reshaped political communication. The swift, weaponized spread of information through social media platforms has facilitated widespread misinformation, psychological manipulation, and highly polarized public debate. Consequently, sustaining Gandhi's emphasis on truth, transparency, and ethical communication has become increasingly difficult within modern hyper-partisan digital spaces.

A further institutional challenge involves balancing unyielding moral ideals with contemporary political pragmatism. According to realpolitik critics, Gandhian principles may appear overly utopian and idealistic when leaders must navigate complex geopolitical crises, such as national security threats, economic recessions, or anarchic international relations, where moral compromise is routine and often unavoidable.

Ultimately, weak institutions, systemic corruption, declining public trust, and rising political intolerance further complicate the operationalization of ethical leadership. Overcoming these multi-layered challenges calls not only for ethically conscious political actors but also for the comprehensive strengthening of democratic architectures, transparent administrative protocols, a vibrant civil society, and an informed, actively participatory electorate.

7. Conclusion

Gandhi's vision of ethical leadership stands as one of the most significant contributions to modern political thought. Built upon truth, non-violence, self-discipline, accountability, and humanitarian service, this leadership model offers a profound moral vision that transcends mere political authority to encompass broader social responsibility and democratic ethics. Even though contemporary political leadership functions in a vastly more complex, challenging, and contentious environment, his ethical principles remain critically relevant as a normative guide for addressing current administrative and systemic challenges.

The comparative analysis of the two approaches demonstrates that while modern political leadership often leans heavily toward realpolitik power dynamics, prioritizing electoral success and strategic calculation, Gandhian leadership revolves fundamentally around character, emphasizing personal integrity, public welfare, and moral responsibility. This sharp contrast highlights a clear and pressing structural need to reintroduce ethical values into contemporary democratic governance models.

Although practical limitations and modern political realities may prevent the total application of idealized Gandhian structures, his core principles hold immense value and relevance for fostering institutional accountability, operational



Cover Page



transparency, inclusive leadership, and renewed public trust. Ultimately, his philosophy should not be dismissed merely as an archaic historical legacy but embraced as an active ethical guide and normative framework for contemporary political leadership. Integrating Gandhian values into contemporary governance frameworks can profoundly help strengthen democratic systems, promote responsible political conduct among elected leaders, and build a resilient political culture defined by justice, public service, and the common good.

References

1. Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 595–616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004>
2. Ciulla, J. B. (2014). *Ethics, the heart of leadership* (3rd ed.). Praeger.
3. Gandhi, M. K. (1993). *An autobiography: The story of my experiments with truth* (M. Desai, Trans.). Beacon Press. (Original work published 1927)
4. Gandhi, M. K. (2009). *Hind Swaraj or Indian Home Rule*. Rajpal & Sons. (Original work published 1909)
5. Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Paulist Press.
6. Iyer, R. N. (1973). *The moral and political thought of Mahatma Gandhi*. Oxford University Press.
7. Northouse, P. G. (2022). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (9th ed.). Sage Publications.
8. Parekh, B. (1989). *Gandhi's political philosophy: A critical examination*. University of Notre Dame Press.
9. Parekh, B. (2001). *Gandhi: A very short introduction*. Oxford University Press.
10. Parel, A. J. (Ed.). (1997). *Hind Swaraj and other writings*. Cambridge University Press.
11. Weber, M. (1978). *Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology* (G. Roth & C. Wittich, Eds.). University of California Press. (Original work published 1922)