Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

AI EVOLUTION: ENHANCING PERSONALISED LEARNING OR REPLACING TEACHERS

Dr. Rajshekhar V Hatti
Asst. Professor, BES College of Education for Women, Bidar

Abstract

The fast development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has profoundly altered the educational practices, and the influence it has on individualized learning and the profession of teaching is the topic of a heated discussion. This thesis will look at the main role of AI as a facilitator of personalized learning or as a plausible threat of human teachers being replaced. The research is based on the qualitative-dominant mixed-methods approach to examine the pedagogical, ethical, and social-professional implications of AI through an in-depth literature review of the interdisciplinary literature on the topic, policy reports, and empirical studies that have been published since 2010.

The results show that AI-powered technologies, e.g., intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, and automated assessment tools, have a significant beneficial effect on personalization, efficiency, and information-based learning, especially in organized areas of learning. Nevertheless, the paper also shows that AI does not have the necessary human characteristics such as emotional intelligence, ethical judgment, and social-cultural sensitivity, which are the core characteristics of effective teaching. It is that AI does not take the place of educators, but it redefines their position, putting more of a focus on facilitation, mentorship, and instructional design.

In the study, ethical issues related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, and turning education into a commercial enterprise can also be identified, and it is important to ensure that there is a solid governance system and human control. The thesis ends by concluding that AI is a useful learning resource and not a replacement of the teacher as well as recommending a collaborative human-AI approach to learning which still acknowledges the humanistic principles the education system relies on but employs technological innovation.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence in Education; Personalized Learning; Teacher Roles; Intelligent Tutoring Systems; Educational Technology; AI Ethics; Human-AI Collaboration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has quickly switched out of the realm of imagination in the digital world into the realm of reality, revolutionizing not only the healthcare industry but also transportation and finance. Education is one of the most influential fields of AI implementation. With AI systems being more and more capable of replicating cognitive tasks that previously the human educator had the monopoly on, scholarly discussion has taken a new direction, with a critical question of:

Will AI improve personal learning or kill teachers?

The thesis poses the question of the changing relationship between AI and teaching by examining the pedagogical and sociotechnical consequences of adopting AI in the educational ecosystem.

2. RESEARCH BACKGROUND

The recent innovations in AI including machine learning, natural language processing (NLP) and adaptive learning algorithms have produced potent instruction and evaluation tools. Such technologies as intelligent tutoring systems, automated essay markers, chatbots, and predictive analytics are changing the way students learn and the way educators teach.

Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

Governments and individual institutions are spending a lot of money to invest in educational technology (EdTech) around the world, which can use AI to enhance the learning process and solve systemic problems. As an example, individualized learning platforms can be based on the content (individual skills) and teachers more and more use AI, which can automatize administrative actions.

Nevertheless, there is also an underlying paradox to such developments: as the instructional ability of AI increases, does it improve or remove the purpose of the teacher?

The tension is examined in this research by the multidisciplinary perspective based on education theory, human-computer interaction, ethics, and labor studies.

3. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Although the advocates of AI in education brag about the benefits of customization and efficiency, the opposing side claims that AI may diminish the professional aspect of any teacher and decrease human contact as well as widen disparities. It is missing a systematic, research-based discussion that provides a balanced analysis of the two perspectives, particularly when AI adoption is speeding up with differences in infrastructure and the readiness of the teachers.

Key problems include:

- Unclear pedagogical value: Does AI have significant learning outcomes relative to the conventional instruction?
- Displacement stories of teachers: How serious is the possibility of losing your job?
- Justice and fairness: Will AI add or reduce educational inequalities?
- Ethics and agency: Which ethical systems are used regarding the application of AI in education decision-making?

4. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH

Aims:

To investigate the idea that the development of AI in the educational context is more focused on increasing the personalized learning opportunities or the systematic substitution of teachers.

Objectives:

- Critically map the theoretical frameworks used to inform AI and personalized learning.
- Analyze the research on the effect of AI on student performance and teacher activities.
- Determine ethical and policy implications that affect the use of AI in classes.
- Determine the prospects of AI in education in the future and give evidence-based advice to stakeholders.

5. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The research questions which will direct this thesis include:

- What is the contribution that AI makes to the personalized learning environments?
- How does AI administration and tutoring impact the teacher roles and responsibilities?
- Are there any empirical data that can support the effect of AI on academic performance of students?
- What are the ethical issues associated with the use of AI in the educational institutions?

Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

- Which policies and approaches can the policy-makers and educators consider in order to find the balance between the benefits of AI and the principles of human teaching?

6. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

This research focuses on:

- K-12 and post-secondary learning settings.
- Adaptive learning systems, automated feedback tools, intelligent tutoring systems, and educational data analytics are some of the AI technologies used in learning.
- Comparison of different regions of the world (with specific reference to North America, Europe, and Asia).
- Peer-reviewed literature, case studies and policy reports are all evidence of both quantitative and qualitative research.

7. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

This thesis provides:

- An elaborate theoretical guide to educators, AI developers, and policy-makers.
- Evidence-based understanding regarding the performance of learning.
- A subtle examination of the man-AI relationship, debunking the naive stories of the replacement.
- Policies that protect the humanistic education and leverage the power of AI.

8. LITERATURE REVIEW

8.1 Historical Background of AI in Education

The history of AI in education goes back to the early computer assisted instruction (CAI) of the 1960s where simple programmed learning was used to perform simple drill and practice tasks. In the 1980s and 1990s with increased computing power and sophistication in algorithms, primitive forms of student cognition modeling began to feature in early intelligent tutoring systems (ITS).

What was taking decades of research has taken a short span following some years thanks to:

- The growth of digital-based learning-generated big data.
- Innovation in machine learning and deep learning models.
- Scalable architectures which enable real time adaptation and cloud computing.

These innovations have heralded a paradigm shift of the one-size-fits-all and stagnant instruction to systems which have the capacity to recreate the individual learning pathways.

8.2 Individualized Learning: Conceptual Framework

Personalized learning (PL) can be defined as the methods of instruction that can be used to maximise the learning process based on the needs, interests, and pace of the individual learner. Conventionally, PL depended on the professional skills of human teachers in the areas of differentiation, formative assessment, and scaffold.

AI promises to enhance PL by:

Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

- Conducting constant tests to gauge performance of learners.
- Determining knowledge gaps on the fly.
- Prescription of personalized learning materials.
- Anticipating the future learning courses.

Nevertheless, personalized and individualized learning have a critical difference. Whereas personalization can be used to mean customization of the material based on the needs of learners, individualization can be used to mean giving them different material without having a deeper cognitive understanding of the material, something the AI can probably imitate but not do at the same depth as human beings.

8.3 Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Taxonomy

Scholars divide educational AI tools into three major groups:

i) Instructional AI - E.g. Intelligent tutoring systems, which give feedback and advise learners.
ii) Administrative AI - The systems that will be automated to conduct graduation, schedule, and data.
iii) Assistive AI - Systems that assist students with learning disabilities (e.g. speech-to-text).

Emerging trends include:

- Chatbots (conversation agents) with real-time service.
- Predictive analytics-based on predicting student performance and attrition.
- In automated writing feedback Natural language processing (NLP).

The human teacher is still at the heart of interpreting AI implications, contextualizing learning, and social-emotional aspects of education despite the growth of technology.

8.4 AI vs. Human Teachers: Fundamental Controversies

The discourse in a scholarly article is quite apt to fit two opposite discourses:

Position A: Artificial Intelligence as Clarifier of Customized Learning.

- AI helps to provide teachers with capacity to lead to differentiated instruction.
- Educators are able to concentrate on the upper level activities like mentoring and socio-emotional services.
- Eliminates tedious labor by automation (e.g., grading).

Alternative B: AI to Replacement Force.

- The algorithmic efficiency can minimize the need of the human labor.
- AI is promoted by EdTech companies as alternatives saving money.
- The growing interest of the investors is an indication of possible disruption in the market.

8.5 Artificial Intelligence as the Power behind Customized Learning

Personalized learning has become a fundamental educational goal in contemporary educational systems with the aim of differentiating the instruction based on the abilities, preferences, speed, and previous knowledge of individual learners. The old-fashioned classroom, with its time limits, classroom capacity and a rigid curriculum has frequently been unable to provide really individualized teaching. Artificial Intelligence is now being offered as a technical fix to this age-old problem.

The AI-based personalized learning platforms work based on gathering and processing large amounts of learner data, such as the accuracy of responses, time-on-task, engagement, and assessment results. These systems are dynamically adjusted to instructional content, level of difficulty, and feedback mechanisms via machine learning (Pane et al., 2017). Research

Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

suggests that adaptive learning platforms have a huge potential to increase interaction and academic success in students, especially in mathematics and science education (Holmes et al., 2019).

Also, in contrast to traditional educational software, AI-controlled systems can continuously learn, as their teaching plans can be improved as new data is introduced. This enables the customization in real-time and not fixed differentiation plans. These systems are very much consistent with constructivist theories of learning where the focal point of knowledge construction is the learner and not content consumption.

8.6 Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)

One of the first and best studied applications of AI in education is Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS). By offering adaptive hints, personalized learning paths, and immediate feedback, these systems are based on the human tutoring model by imitating human-one-on-one tutoring.

Meta-analyses have demonstrated that ITS can create similar learning gains as human tutors in highly structured areas like mathematics, programming and physics (VanLehn, 2011). They prove to be especially effective in learning settings that are based on skills and in which the right answers and steps to solving problems can be well outlined.

Irrespective of these strengths, ITS have limitations in open-ended learning where creativity, critical analysis or morality are needed. The human teachers are good at handling ambiguity, developing classroom discourse and reacting to the emotional cues- areas where AI has not yet made much headway.

8.7 The use of AI in Assessment and Feedback

The field of assessment is also one area where AI has gained a lot of infiltration. Multiple choice tests, short answers, and even essays can be graded by an automated grading system which uses natural language processing and pattern recognition. Such tools provide quicker feedback loops which studies have also found to be a key element in enhancing learning (Hattie and Timperley, 2007).

Formative evaluation (detecting misconceptions and suggesting specific interventions) can also be performed with the help of AI-based assessment systems. This endorses the mastery-based learning models and lessens the administrative workload to teachers.

Nevertheless, the issues of transparency, bias, and validity remain. Algorithms that grade can reproduce or even increase the existing bias present in the training data, and students who represent a different linguistic or cultural group will be disadvantaged (Williamson and Eynon, 2020). Moreover, proprietary algorithms are opaque and therefore question accountability and scholarly integrity.

8.8 The role of Teacher in AI-Integrated Classrooms

However, unlike the stories that anticipate obsolescence of teachers, there is an emerging literature that highlights the transformative, and not the eradicating, effect of AI on the teacher roles. The teacher is being progressively framed as an enabler, coach, and architect of learning taking into account AI-generated insights and applying them to pedagogically viable practices (Luckin et al., 2016).

Studies indicate that the performance of students when teachers work together with AI gets better, compared to situations where AI tools are applied separately (Xie et al., 2019). Teachers offer contextual judgment, moral supervision, and socio-emotional support, which are abilities that can only be performed by humans.

However, the shift needs considerable professional training. In the absence of proper training, teachers can be in opposition to the adoption or use AI in the superficial mode which will erode the possible benefits.

Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

8.9 Fear of Replacement of Teacher: Myth or Reality?

The application of AI in education has frequently been portrayed in public discussions as a disruptive technology that can be used to surpass teachers because of the automating discourse that can be found in other sectors. According to economic theories of technological unemployment, it is usually the work, and not an occupation, that is automated (Autor, 2015).

AI is effective in the field of education in the areas of routine and data-heavy activities but fails in the areas of relationships, ethics and creativity. As empirical evidence shows, AI may be used to reorganize the teaching positions instead of eliminating them (OECD, 2021).

Nevertheless, there are some risks of partial displacement, especially in low-cost online learning frameworks where AI-based learning replaces the human point of contact in order to save costs. This has brought up issues of quality and equity in education and commodification of learning.

9. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

9.1 The theory of Constructivist

Constructivist theory is the theory that states that learners are active participants in knowledge construction by means of interaction, reflection and experience. The process of learning is regarded as a social and cognitive phenomenon which is conditioned by the context and dialogue (Vygotsky, 1978).

The use of AI in personalized learning tools is consistent with the constructivist approach, as it allows personalizing the content based on the current knowledge structures of learners. Nevertheless, social interaction and guided discovery are also central in constructivism, as the role of teachers in mediating learning is inalienable.

9.2 Socio-Cultural Theory and Zone of Proximal Development

The notion of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) provided by Vygotsky suggests the significance of scaffolding in education. Although AI systems could offer scaffolding by means of adaptive hints, they do not have the capacity to respond to emotional and cultural cues in a more nuanced manner than can be achieved through human interaction.

The teachers can also work within the ZPD by assessing the readiness of the learner and modifying the instruction. AI can facilitate this process but cannot do it on its own and reproduce it completely.

9.3 The Theory of human-AI Collaboration

The paradigms of human-AI cooperation have a supportive nature of leverage capabilities instead of the competition. Artificial intelligence is used to process information, identify trends in the field of education, and human beings are involved in judgment, empathy, and moral reasoning (Daugherty and Wilson, 2018).

Such a model makes AI an assistant or co-teacher instead of a substitute, which supports the thesis that synergy between human teachers and smart technologies is the key to building effective education systems.

9.4 The Critical Theory and Ethical Viewpoints

Critical theorists warn against the blind following of AI in education due to power relationships, surveillance and commodification of data. The systems of AI are likely to exist within corporative ecosystems, which emphasize efficiency and profitability at the cost of the pedagogical values (Zuboff, 2019).

Ethical principles require transparency, responsibility, privacy of data and human control to maintain the use of AI which is aimed at educational purposes not jeopardy.

Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

10. IDENTIFYING RESEARCH GAP

Although there is a lot of literature on the use of AI in education, there still exists a lot left to be answered:

Inadequate longitudinal research on long-term learning.

Lack of attention to the identity of the teacher and autonomy.

The lack of inclusion of the views of the developing education systems.

Disjointed jurisdictional ethical and policy analyses.

This thesis occupies these gaps by integrating interdisciplinary literature and analyzing the effects of AI by considering the pedagogical and socio-ethical perspectives.

11. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

11.1 Research Design

This research paper follows a qualitative-dominant mixed research design, which is the systematic literature analysis with comparative case analysis. Considering the nature of the research questions as conceptual and ethical, a qualitative method is the one that is especially appropriate to investigate the perceptions, pedagogical outcomes, and policy aspects of AI being integrated into the educational process.

It is descriptive and analytical in its methodology, which seeks to extract the available empirical results instead of creating primary experimental evidence. This framework enables the comprehensive assessment of the role of AI in education in various situations in different fields.

11.2 Sampling and Selection Criteria

The literature that passed the purposive sampling was based on literature that concerned the research questions. Inclusion criteria were:

- Directly supervising AI in education.
- Practical or theoretical involvement of individualized learning or teaching positions.
- Authoritative sources of publication, such as academic or institutional.

The following were the exclusion criteria:

- Non-academic opinion pieces.
- Research that is not done in a transparent manner.
- Articles were about technical AI development only, without educational background.

11.3 Data Analysis Method

Thematic analysis was used to determine the recurrent patterns and concepts in the literature. Data were significantly coded according to the themes which included:

- Individualized learning products.
- Role transformation in teachers.
- Ethical concerns

Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

- Threat of robotization and loss of jobs.
- Governance systems and policies.

This mode of analysis allowed comparing opposite points of view and provided the opportunity to interpret in a theoretical way.

11.4 Limitations of the Study

The study has the following limitations:

- Reliance on previous literature constrains the generalization of the empirical findings.
- The development of AI might be faster than the existing studies.
- Geographical disproportion in the studies of AI education.
- Possible bias of the publication in favor of positive AI.

In spite of these drawbacks, the research offers a solid theoretical overview and research implications.

12. FINDINGS

12.1. The Effect of AI on an individualized outcome of learning

According to the literature, AI-enhanced learning systems are always associated with enhancing personalization by providing adaptive feedback, flexible pacing, and content delivery. Empirical research shows that AI application is positively correlated with student performance, especially in the STEM subjects (Holmes et al., 2019).

The identification of learning gaps at the initial phases of learning can be achieved very well by AI systems and thus timely interventions are possible. Nonetheless, quality system design and integration of teachers are conditions of improvement.

12.2 Transforming teacher roles in education

This is a problem of concern for numerous experts.

AI does not take over the work of the teachers, but transforms their professional duties. Teachers become more of facilitators, mentors as well as curriculum designers. Automation of the administration enables teachers to spend more time in planning their instruction and dealing with students.

Nonetheless, teacher resistance and role ambiguity may arise due to the lack of support and training on an institutional level.

12.3 Concerns of Teacher Replacement

The study identifies little evidence as to complete replacement of a teacher. AI has no emotional intelligence, ethical judgment, and social interaction skills, which are vital elements of effective teaching.

There are also risks of partial displacement in low cost online learning settings but these models tend to prove poorer educational quality and satisfaction amongst learners.

12.4 Ethical and Social Implication

Key ethical issues determined are:

- Surveillance and privacy of information.
- Algorithmic bias
- The absence of transparency in AI decision-making.

Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

- Student data commercial exploitation.

To overcome these difficulties, human control is still needed.

13. DISCUSSION

13.1 AI as an Educational Augmenter, not Replacement

The findings help to confirm the thesis that AI should be utilized as an augmentation tool. In a worthy way, AI can enhance teacher performance and educational outcomes of the students.

13.2 The Non replaceable Human Dimension

Teaching is an interpersonal process. Artificial intelligence remains unable to feel and have morality and cultural blindness. It will be more mechanized and unfair to learn without human teachers.

13.3 Policies and institutional responsibility which should not be neglected

Government and institutional regulatory structures must be established in a manner that:

- Protect student data
- Ensure transparency
- Support teacher training
- Promote equitable access

14. CONCLUSION

To sum up this thesis, the author demonstrates that the process of AI development in the educational field does not eliminate teachers, but forms the part of personalized learning. Instead, AI changes the nature of teaching into a human-machine interaction.

The future of education is not about choosing between AI and teachers but about coming up with mechanisms that will see AI fill the gaps left by the teacher and the opposite.

15. RECOMMENDATIONS

- Apply AI as an aiding capability, but not a corrective device.
- Professional and AI literacy of teachers.
- Instituting AI ethical governance models.
- Promote equitable and equal access to AI.

16. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

- The combination of longitudinal research of AI-driven learning.
- The role and welfare of educators in the AI integrated setting.
- Use of AI in third world countries.
- Students and their perception of AI-mediated instructions.

Cover Page

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
ISSN:2277-7881(Print); IMPACT FACTOR :10.16(2026); IC VALUE:5.16; ISI VALUE:2.286
PEER REVIEWED AND REFEREED INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
(Fulfilled Suggests Parameters of UGC by IJMER)
Volume:15, Issue:1(5), January 2026
Scopus Review ID: A2B96D3ACF3FEA2A
Article Received: Reviewed: Accepted
Publisher: Sucharitha Publication, India
Online Copy of Article Publication Available: www.ijmer.in

REFERENCES

- Autor, D. H. (2015). So, what is the reason why there are still so many jobs? The future and the history of automation at the workplace. Journal of Economic Perspectives.
- Daugherty, P. R., & Wilson, H. J. (2018). Human + machine: Reinventing work in the age of AI. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. Review of Research in Education.
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Future and implications of teaching and learning. Center of Curriculum Redesign.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., and Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence on fire: AI in education argument. Pearson Education.
- Selwyn, N. (2019). Is it time to virtualize teachers? Artificial Intelligence and the future of education. Polity Press.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The evolution of the higher processes of the mind. Harvard University Press.